### **CAIETE CRITICE**

#### **Referee's Report**

#### Guidelines

Thank you for acting as a referee for the "Caiete critice" Journal (<u>http://www.caietecritice.fnsa.ro</u>).

1. Please list your recommended amendments / revisions on the attached form. If you prefer, a hardcopy of the contribution can be returned to us with comments added in pen, but please DO NOT amend the electronic copy.

2. Your comment in the section headed "Report" will be forwarded to the author. Your comments in the section headed "For the editor" will not be forwarded to the author.

3. Please start your report with a general summary of the paper and the contribution it makes. You need to highlight here what is new and interesting about the paper, as well as give a summary in a few sentences.

4. In deciding on your recommendation, please take the following into account:

How suitable is the article for the audience of "Caiete critice"?

How well does the piece meet the interests and scope of "Caiete critice"?

How far does the piece acknowledge current debates in the field, demonstrate a knowledge of the field(s) involved, and how well does it contribute to these?

How well-written is the article?

5. The reviewing process is confidential. The referee is not allowed to disclose the content of the article and the report to anyone except the editing board.

6. The referee shall notify the editing board in case the article is suspected of plagiarism.

7. The maximum duration of the reviewing process is 20 days.

# CAIETE CRITICE

## **REFEREE'S REPORT**

(Please fill in the details in the space provided):

Referee's name:	
Date of response:	
Title of article:	

Summary recommendations: (Please place a tick in the relevant box.)

1. Accept without amendment	
2. Accept with minor amendments as listed in the report	
3. Support publication, but only after revisions as recommended in	
the report	
4. Reject, for the reasons given in the report.	

Report:

## **CAIETE CRITICE**

For the Editor: